



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspfa.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,503	07/23/2002	Morimitsu Nakamura	9170-US-PA	4862
31561 7	7590 01/15/2004	EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
IAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
14	
	_

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Applicanus		
10/064,503	NAKAMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frank M. Lawrence	1724		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

- THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this operation.

	ure to reply within the set or extended period for re reply received by the Office later than three month ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply will, by statute, cause the ap s after the mailing date of this c	plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ommunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status	,					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>09 December :</u>	<u>2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1,4,6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1,4,6 and 8-11 is/are reje	cted.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election	equirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)☐ · 11)☐ · Priority u 12)☑ a)□ * S 13)☐ A sir 37 a7 44)☐ Ai	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir The oath or declaration is objected inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority and the	e: a) accepted or by ection to the drawing(s) ag the correction is required to by the Examiner. Note that the form for foreign priority under the priority documents have been a form for a list of the certifor domestic priority under the first sentence anguage provisional appropriority under the form domestic priority under the first sentence anguage provisional appropriority under the form domestic priority under the form dom	pe held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). red if the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. red attached Office Action or form PTO-152. received. received. received in Application No. received in this National Stage to 17.2(a)). fied copies not received. received.			
Attachment(
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/064,503

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Paragraph [0021] should be amended to remove "link" references.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ojo et al. (6,409,800).
- 4. Ojo et al. '800 teach a system for removing impurities from raw material air used for cryogenic separation, comprising contacting the air with a first adsorbent layer for selectively removing water, a second adsorbent layer for removing nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons, and a third layer between the first and second layers for removing carbon dioxide. The second layer comprises a composite of A and X zeolites that are each 50-100% exchanged with ions that can include Ca, Mg, and combinations of these, which are specifically listed as sample exchange bases or salts (see col. 10, lines 4-20, claims 1, 3, 12, 27). An adsorption cylinder for containing the adsorbent layers is an inherent part of the system.

Art Unit: 1724

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 6 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner agrees that the EP '465 does not specifically disclose magnesium exchanged in any preferred ratio as claimed in the instant invention, while instead disclosing a broad group and a 0-100% range. A new non-final rejection is presented using the Ojo et al. '800 patent that discloses magnesium and calcium as specific exchange examples within a more narrow range of greater than 50% (preferably greater than 95%). A genus does not always anticipate a claim to a species within a genus. However, when the species is clearly named, the species claim is anticipated no matter how many other species are additionally named in the prior art reference. Ex parte A, 17 USPQ2d 1716 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990) and In re Sivaramakrishnan, 673 F.2d 1383, 213 USPQ 441 (CCPA 1982). Because these limitations were previously claimed and presented, this rejection is not final.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on 571-272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

Frank M. Lawrence

Inde favrence 1-8-04 Application/Control Number: 10/064,503

Art Unit: 1724

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fl

Page 4